Lecture 9: **CNN Architectures**

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Administrative

Midterm: Take-home (1hr 40min), Tue May 12. Covers material through Lecture 10 (Thu May 7).

Midterm review session: Fri May 8 discussion section

Sample midterm has been released on Piazza.

OAE accommodations: If you have not received an email from us, please reach out to the staff mailing list ASAP.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Administrative

A3 will be released next Wed May 13, due Wed May 27

Project milestone due Mon May 18

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Transfer learning

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

"You need a lot of a data if you want to train/use CNNs"

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

"You need a lot of a **antalit** you want to train/use CNNs" **Dutier of a settlem**
Ns"

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

(More on this in Lecture 13)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

AlexNet: 64 x 3 x 11 x 11

Test image L2 Nearest neighbors in feature space

(More on this in Lecture 13)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

1. Train on Imagenet

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu _ Lecture 7

1. Train on Imagenet

Image

2. Small Dataset (C classes)

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

1. Train on Imagenet

Image Conv-64 2. Small Dataset (C classes)

Image

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

1. Train on Imagenet

Image

2. Small Dataset (C classes)

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Image Captioning: CNN + RNN

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015 Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015 Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015 Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015 Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

- 1. Train CNN on ImageNet
- 2. Fine-Tune (1) for object detection on Visual Genome
- 3. Train BERT language model on lots of text
- 4. Combine(2) and (3), train for joint image / language modeling
- 5. Fine-tune (4) for imagecaptioning, visual question answering, etc.

Zhou et al, "Unified Vision-Language Pre-Training for Image Captioning and VQA" CVPR 2020 Figure copyright Luowei Zhou, 2020. Reproduced with permission.

Krishna et al, "Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations" IJCV 2017 Devlin et al. "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding" ArXiv 2018

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive… But recent results show it might not always be necessary!

Training from scratch can work just as well as training from a pretrained ImageNet model for object detection

But it takes 2-3x as long to train.

They also find that collecting more data is better than finetuning on a related task

He et al, "Rethinking ImageNet Pre-training", ICCV 2019 Figure copyright Kaiming He, 2019. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Transfer learning be like

Source: AI & Deep Learning Memes For Back-propagated Poets

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Have some dataset of interest but it has \leq ~10k images?

- 1. Find a very large dataset that has similar data, train a big ConvNet there (or take pretrained models)
- 2. Transfer learn to your dataset

Deep learning frameworks provide a "Model Zoo" of pretrained models so you don't need to train your own

TensorFlow: https://github.com/tensorflow/models PyTorch: https://github.com/pytorch/vision

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

CNN Architectures

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: LeNet-5

[LeCun et al., 1998]

Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1 Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2 i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-FC-FC]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: Convolution

Padding: Preserve input spatial dimensions in output activations

Stride: Downsample output activations

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: Convolution

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: Pooling

Single depth slice

y

max pool with 2x2 filters and stride 2 6 8

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Today: CNN Architectures

Case Studies

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet

Also....

- SENet
- Wide ResNet
- ResNeXT
- DenseNet
- MobileNets
- NASNet
- EfficientNet

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Architecture: CONV1 MAX POOL₁ NORM1 CONV2 MAX POOL2 NORM2 CONV3 CONV4 CONV5 Max POOL3 FC6 FC7 FC8

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Input: 227x227x3 images

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 => Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(227-11)/4+1 = 55$ $W' = (W - F + 2P)/S + 1$

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 =>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

 $W' = (W - F + 2P)/S + 1$

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 => Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Q: What is the total number of parameters in this layer?

192

192

197

192

Max

pooling

128

128

Max

pooling

dense

 1000

 5048

 2048

dense

2048

dense¹

 128 Max

pooling

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 =>

Output volume **[55x55x96]** Parameters: (11*11*3)*96 = **35K**

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]
Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(55-3)/2+1 = 27$

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

 $W' = (W - F + 2P)/S + 1$

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

 $W' = (W - F + 2P)/S + 1$

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2 Output volume: 27x27x96

Q: what is the number of parameters in this layer?

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2 Output volume: 27x27x96 Parameters: 0!

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

...

Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96 After POOL1: 27x27x96

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores) Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu becture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

Details/Retrospectives:

- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10 manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4%

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer

- [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2
- [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons
- [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons

[1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

[55x55x48] x 2

Historical note: Trained on GTX 580 GPU with only 3 GB of memory. Network spread across 2 GPUs, half the neurons (feature maps) on each GPU.

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

dense 192 $\frac{1}{2049}$ 128 dense¹ dense 100c 192 192 128 Max 2048 2048 pooling Max 128 pooling pooling

CONV1, CONV2, CONV4, CONV5: Connections only with feature maps on same GPU

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

CONV3, FC6, FC7, FC8: Connections with all feature maps in preceding layer, communication across GPUs

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ZFNet *[Zeiler and Fergus, 2013]*

AlexNet but: CONV1: change from (11x11 stride 4) to (7x7 stride 2) CONV3,4,5: instead of 384, 384, 256 filters use 512, 1024, 512

ImageNet top 5 error: 16.4% -> 11.7%

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Small filters, Deeper networks

8 layers (AlexNet) -> 16 - 19 layers (VGG16Net)

Only 3x3 CONV stride 1, pad 1 and 2x2 MAX POOL stride 2

11.7% top 5 error in ILSVRC'13 (ZFNet) -> 7.3% top 5 error in ILSVRC'14

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Softmax **EC 1000**

FC 4096

FC 4096

Pool

3x3 conv, 384 Pool

Pool

5x5 conv. 25

1x11 conv

Innut

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Softmax FC 1000 Softmax **FC 4096** FC 1000 **FC 4096 FC 4096** Pool FC 4096 3x3 conv. 512 Pool 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv. 512 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv. 512 3x3 conv. 512 **Pool** 3x3 conv. 512 3x3 conv. 512 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv, 512 Pool 3x3 conv. 256 3x3 conv, 256 Pool 3x3 conv. 128 3x3 conv, 128 Pool 3x3 conv. 64 3x3 conv. 64 Input

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Softmax **EC 1000**

FC 4096

FC 4096

Pool

3x3 conv, 384

Pool

Pool

5x5 conv. 25

Innut

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

[7x7]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

But deeper, more non-linearities

And fewer parameters: $3*(3^2C^2)$ vs. $7²C²$ for C channels per layer

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Softmax **FC 1000**

FC 4096

FC 4096

Pool

3x3 conv, 384

Pool

Pool

5x5 conv. 25

1x11 conv

Innut

Example 2 Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*64)*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*128)*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*128)*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4,096,000 (not counting biases)

VGG16

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*64)*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*128)*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*128)*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4,096,000 (not counting biases)

VGG16

TOTAL memory: 24M * 4 bytes ~= 96MB / image (for a forward pass) TOTAL params: 138M parameters

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: **224*224*64=3.2M** params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: **224*224*64=3.2M** params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*64)*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*128)*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*128)*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = **102,760,448** FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4,096,000 (not counting biases) Note: Most memory is in early CONV Most params are in late FC

TOTAL memory: 24M $*$ 4 bytes \sim = 96MB / image (only forward! \sim *2 for bwd) TOTAL params: 138M parameters

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu becture 7

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*64)*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*128)*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*128)*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4,096,000 (not counting biases)

Softmax FC 1000 fc8 FC 4096 $fc7$ FC 4096 fc6 Pool 3x3 conv. 512 $conv5-3$ 3x3 conv. 512 conv5-2 conv5-1 3x3 conv. 512 Pool 3x3 conv, 512 conv4-3 3x3 conv, 512 $conv4-2$ 3x3 conv, 512 $conv4-1$ Pool 3x3 conv. 256 conv3-2 3x3 conv. 256 conv3-1 Pool 3x3 conv, 128 conv2-2 conv₂₋₁ Pool 3x3 conv. 64 conv1-2 3x3 conv. 64 conv1-1 Input VGG16

TOTAL memory: 24M $*$ 4 bytes \sim = 96MB / image (only forward! \sim *2 for bwd) Common names TOTAL params: 138M parameters

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Details:

- ILSVRC'14 2nd in classification, 1st in localization
- Similar training procedure as Krizhevsky 2012
- No Local Response Normalisation (LRN)
- Use VGG16 or VGG19 (VGG19 only slightly better, more memory)
- Use ensembles for best results
- FC7 features generalize well to other tasks

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency

- ILSVRC'14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)
- 22 layers
- Only 5 million parameters! 12x less than AlexNet 27x less than VGG-16
- Efficient "Inception" module
- No FC layers

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

"Inception module": design a good local network topology (network within a network) and then stack these modules on top of each other

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Naive Inception module

Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together channel-wise

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Naive Inception module

Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together channel-wise

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q1: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q1: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7
[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2:What is output size after filter concatenation?

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2:What is output size after filter concatenation?

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2:What is output size after filter concatenation?

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x**192x3x3x256** [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x**96x5x5x256 Total: 854M ops**

Naive Inception module

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2:What is output size after filter concatenation?

Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x**192x3x3x256** [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x**96x5x5x256 Total: 854M ops**

Very expensive compute

Pooling layer also preserves feature depth, which means total depth after concatenation can only grow at every layer!

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2:What is output size after filter concatenation?

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

28x28x(128+192+96+256) = **529k** Solution: "bottleneck" layers that use 1x1 convolutions to reduce feature channel size

Naive Inception module

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: 1x1 convolutions

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Review: 1x1 convolutions

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Inception module with dimension reduction

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Inception module with dimension reduction

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Inception module with dimension reduction

Using same parallel layers as naive example, and adding "1x1 conv, 64 filter" bottlenecks:

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 [1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 [1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x192x3x3x64 [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x96x5x5x64 [1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 **Total: 358M ops**

Compared to 854M ops for naive version Bottleneck can also reduce depth after pooling layer

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Stack Inception modules with dimension reduction on top of each other

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

2x Conv-Pool

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

across each feature map, before final FC layer. No longer multiple expensive FC layers!

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

22 total layers with weights

(parallel layers count as 1 layer => 2 layers per Inception module. Don't count auxiliary output layers)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency

- 22 layers
- Efficient "Inception" module
- Avoids expensive FC layers
- 12x less params than AlexNet
- 27x less params than VGG-16
- ILSVRC'14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners "Revolution of Depth"

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

Very deep networks using residual connections

- 152-layer model for ImageNet
- ILSVRC'15 classification winner (3.57% top 5 error)
- Swept all classification and detection competitions in ILSVRC'15 and COCO'15!

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?

56-layer model performs worse on both training and test error -> The deeper model performs worse, but it's not caused by overfitting!

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

Fact: Deep models have more representation power (more parameters) than shallower models.

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem, **deeper models are harder to optimize**

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

Fact: Deep models have more representation power (more parameters) than shallower models.

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem, deeper models are harder to optimize

What should the deeper model learn to be at least as good as the shallower model?

A solution by construction is copying the learned layers from the shallower model and setting additional layers to identity mapping.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

101 Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

FC 1000

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
- Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension) Reduce the activation volume by half.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

FC 1000 Pool

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
- Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension)
- Additional conv layer at the beginning (stem)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

FC 1000 Poo

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
- Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension)
- Additional conv layer at the beginning (stem)
- No FC layers at the end (only FC 1000 to output classes)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

Input

FC 1000

No FC layers besides FC 1000 to output classes

Global average pooling layer after last conv layer

[He et al., 2015]

Total depths of 18, 34, 50, 101, or 152 layers for ImageNet

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

[He et al., 2015]

For deeper networks (ResNet-50+), use "bottleneck" layer to improve efficiency (similar to GoogLeNet)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

107 Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

[He et al., 2015]

For deeper networks (ResNet-50+), use "bottleneck" layer to improve efficiency (similar to GoogLeNet)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

108 Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

[He et al., 2015]

Training ResNet in practice:

- Batch Normalization after every CONV layer
- Xavier initialization from He et al.
- SGD + Momentum (0.9)
- Learning rate: 0.1, divided by 10 when validation error plateaus
- Mini-batch size 256
- Weight decay of 1e-5
- No dropout used

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7
Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Experimental Results

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lower training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions

MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

• 1st places in all five main tracks

- . ImageNet Classification: "Ultra-deep" (quote Yann) 152-layer nets
- . ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- . ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- . COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- . COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Experimental Results

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lower training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions

MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

• 1st places in all five main tracks

- . ImageNet Classification: "Ultra-deep" (quote Yann) 152-layer nets
- . ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- . ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- . COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- . COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

ILSVRC 2015 classification winner (3.6% top 5 error) -- better than "human performance"! (Russakovsky 2014)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Comparing complexity... Inception-v4: Resnet + Inception!

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Adapted by Artem Nikonorov

VGG: most

GoogLeNet: most efficient

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

AlexNet:

Smaller compute, still memory

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ResNet:

Moderate efficiency depending on

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners Network ensembling

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Improving ResNets...

"Good Practices for Deep Feature Fusion"

[Shao et al. 2016]

- Multi-scale ensembling of Inception, Inception-Resnet, Resnet, Wide Resnet models
- ILSVRC'16 classification winner

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners Adaptive feature map reweighting

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Improving ResNets...

Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENet)

[Hu et al. 2017]

- Add a "feature recalibration" module that learns to adaptively reweight feature maps
- Global information (global avg. pooling layer) + 2 FC layers used to determine feature map weights
- ILSVRC'17 classification winner (using ResNeXt-152 as a base architecture)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

But research into CNN architectures is still flourishing

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Improving ResNets...

[He et al. 2016] Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks

- Improved ResNet block design from creators of ResNet
- Creates a more direct path for propagating information throughout network
- Gives better performance

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Improving ResNets...

Wide Residual Networks

[Zagoruyko et al. 2016]

- Argues that residuals are the important factor, not depth
- User wider residual blocks (F x k filters instead of F filters in each layer)
- 50-layer wide ResNet outperforms 152-layer original ResNet
- Increasing width instead of depth more computationally efficient (parallelizable)

Basic residual block Wide residual block

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Improving ResNets... Aggregated Residual Transformations for Deep Neural Networks (ResNeXt)

[Xie et al. 2016]

- Also from creators of ResNet
- Increases width of residual block through multiple parallel pathways ("cardinality")
- Parallel pathways similar in spirit to Inception module

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Other ideas...

Densely Connected Convolutional Networks (DenseNet)

[Huang et al. 2017]

- Dense blocks where each layer is connected to every other layer in feedforward fashion
- Alleviates vanishing gradient, strengthens feature propagation, encourages feature reuse
- Showed that shallow 50-layer network can outperform deeper 152 layer ResNet

Dense Block

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Efficient networks...

[Howard et al. 2017] MobileNets: Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Applications

- Depthwise separable convolutions replace standard convolutions by factorizing them into a depthwise convolution and a 1x1 convolution
- Much more efficient, with little loss in accuracy
- Follow-up MobileNetV2 work in 2018 (Sandler et al.)
- ShuffleNet: Zhang et al, CVPR 2018

Learning to search for network architectures...

Neural Architecture Search with Reinforcement Learning (NAS)

[Zoph et al. 2016]

"Controller" network that learns to design a good network architecture (output a string corresponding to network design)

lterate:

- 1) Sample an architecture from search space
- 2) Train the architecture to get a "reward" R corresponding to accuracy
- 3) Compute gradient of sample probability, and scale by R to perform controller parameter update (i.e. increase likelihood of good architecture being sampled, decrease likelihood of bad architecture)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Learning to search for network architectures...

Learning Transferable Architectures for Scalable Image **Recognition**

[Zoph et al. 2017]

- Applying neural architecture search (NAS) to a large dataset like ImageNet is expensive
- Design a search space of building blocks ("cells") that can be flexibly stacked
- NASNet: Use NAS to find best cell structure on smaller CIFAR-10 dataset, then transfer architecture to ImageNet
- Many follow-up works in this space e.g. AmoebaNet (Real et al. 2019) and ENAS (Pham, Guan et al. 2018)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

But sometimes smart heuristic is better than NAS ...

#channels

(a) baseline

EfficientNet: Smart Compound Scaling

[Tan and Le. 2019]

- Increase network capacity by scaling width, depth, and resolution, while balancing accuracy and efficiency.
- Search for optimal set of compound scaling factors given a compute budget (target memory & flops).
- Scale up using smart heuristic rules

depth:
$$
d = \alpha^{\phi}
$$

width: $w = \beta^{\phi}$
resolution: $r = \gamma^{\phi}$
s.t. $\alpha \cdot \beta^2 \cdot \gamma^2 \approx 2$
 $\alpha \ge 1, \beta \ge 1, \gamma \ge$

Summary: CNN Architectures

Case Studies

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet

Also....

- SENet
- Wide ResNet
- ResNeXT
- DenseNet
- MobileNets
- NASNet

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Main takeaways

AlexNet showed that you can use CNNs to train Computer Vision models. **ZFNet**, **VGG** shows that bigger networks work better **GoogLeNet** is one of the first to focus on efficiency using 1x1 bottleneck convolutions and global avg pool instead of FC layers **ResNet** showed us how to train extremely deep networks

- Limited only by GPU & memory!
- Showed diminishing returns as networks got bigger

After ResNet: CNNs were better than the human metric and focus shifted to Efficient networks:

- Lots of tiny networks aimed at mobile devices: **MobileNet**, **ShuffleNet Neural Architecture Search** can now automate architecture design

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7 **134 Lecture 7 Adapted by Artem Nikonorov**

Summary: CNN Architectures

- Many popular architectures available in model zoos
- ResNet and SENet currently good defaults to use
- Networks have gotten increasingly deep over time
- Many other aspects of network architectures are also continuously being investigated and improved
- Next time: Recurrent neural networks

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7

Next time: Recurrent Neural Networks

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu Lecture 7